

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/826,487	WULFMAN ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Kathryn Odland</u>	(3) _____
(2) <u>Ann Speckman</u>	(4) _____
Date of Interview: <u>17 May 2004</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
20 and 28	
Prior art documents discussed:	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>Applicant was contacted to amend the claims for clarity in order to more accurately describe the invention and to more clearly define over the prior art of record.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)	 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
---	--